

References

1. DeMeo DL, Mariani TJ, Lange C, Srisuma S, Litonjua AA, Celledon JC, Lake SL, Reilly JJ, Chapman HA, Mecham BH, Haley KJ, Sylvia JS, Sparrow D, Spira AE, Beane J, Pino-Plata V, Speizer FE, Shapiro SD, Weiss ST, Silverman EK (2006) The *SERPINE2* gene is associated with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Hum Genet* 78:253–264
2. Chappell S, Daly L, Morgan K, Guetta Baranes T, Roca J, Rabinovich R, Millar A, Donnelly S, Keatings V, MacNee W, Stolk J, Hiemstra P, Miniati M, Monti S, O'Connor CM, Kalsheker N (2006) Cryptic haplotypes of *SERPINA1* confer susceptibility to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Hum Mutat* 27:103–109

From the University of Nottingham and Division of Clinical Chemistry, Molecular Medical Sciences, Institute of Genetics, University Hospital, Queens Medical Centre, Nottingham, United Kingdom (S.C.; K.M.; T.G.B.; N.K.); Departments of Public Health Medicine and Epidemiology (L.D.) and Medicine and Therapeutics (S.C.D.; C.M.O.), The Conway Institute, University College Dublin, Dublin; Service de Pneumologia, Hospital Clinico y Provincial de Barcelona, Barcelona (J.R.; R.R.); University of Bristol and Lung Research Group, Department of Clinical Science at North Bristol, Southmead Hospital, Bristol, United Kingdom (A.M.); Letterkenny General Hospital, Letterkenny, Ireland (V.K.); University of Edinburgh, Respiratory Medicine, ELEGI Colt Laboratories, Edinburgh (W.M.); Department of Pulmonology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands (J.S.; P.S.H.); and CNR Institute of Clinical Physiology, Pisa, Italy (M.M.; S.M.)

Address for correspondence and reprints: Dr. Noor Kalsheker, The University of Nottingham, Division of Clinical Chemistry, Institute of Genetics, Queens Medical Centre, Nottingham, NG7 2UH, United Kingdom. E-mail: noor.kalsheker@nottingham.ac.uk

Am. J. Hum. Genet. 2006;79:184–186. © 2006 by The American Society of Human Genetics. All rights reserved.

0002-9297/2006/7901-0024X\$15.00

* These two authors contributed equally to this work.

Reply to Chappell et al.

To The Editor: We appreciate the efforts of Chappell and colleagues¹ to replicate our *SERPINE2* findings. We identified *SERPINE2* as a candidate gene for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD [MIM 606963]) on the basis of our gene-expression results (in both murine and human lung) and our genetic association analysis results in two study populations. Chappell et al. found no evidence for association of five *SERPINE2* SNPs with COPD in their case-control study. As in many complex-disease genetic association studies in general, and in previous COPD genetic association studies in particular,² the results are inconsistent.

There are many potential explanations for these inconsistent results, including population stratification, genetic heterogeneity, false-positive and/or false-negative results, differences in the number of SNPs genotyped, and phenotypic heterogeneity.³ In comparing the results of our two research groups for association analysis of *SERPINE2* SNPs with COPD, phenotypic heterogeneity is of particular importance. COPD is a syndrome composed of both

emphysema and airway disease, with variable contributions of these processes in different individuals with COPD. Review of chest CT scans of probands from the Boston Early-Onset COPD Study—the population in which we performed family-based association analysis of COPD-related phenotypes—revealed that the vast majority of these probands had emphysema.⁴ Moreover, the COPD cases in our case-control replication population were clearly selected for emphysema as part of the National Emphysema Treatment Trial (NETT). In addition, the Boston Early-Onset COPD Study probands and the NETT cases had very severe COPD. Thus, our test and replication populations were severely affected with COPD, typically with a substantial degree of emphysema. As noted by Chappell et al., our cases represent “a severe subset of the disease spectrum,”^{1(p185)} and their cases represent a broader spectrum of severity, including individuals with and without emphysema. The differences in disease severity and emphysema may be important contributors to their nonreplication of our association findings. Also of note, although Chappell et al. genotyped five SNPs in *SERPINE2*, they did not genotype several other SNPs for which we observed replicated associations and LOD score reduction in conditional linkage models.

Chappell et al. also comment about apparently inconsistent association results in our family-based and case-control association analyses among SNPs in tight linkage disequilibrium (LD). Modest differences in the statistical significance of the association analysis results were noted for several SNPs that are in strong but not complete LD in our study populations. There are reasonable explanations for these modest differences. (1) The SNP pairs mentioned are not in complete LD; in our combined case-control cohort, the r^2 values were 0.93 for *rs3795879* and *rs3795877* and 0.91 for *rs1438831* and *rs920251*. (2) Despite excellent genotype completion rates, there were slight differences in missing data between these SNP pairs. Of note, these were not the only *SERPINE2* SNPs significantly associated with COPD-related phenotypes in our study; we observed 18 significantly associated *SERPINE2* SNPs in the family-based association analysis and 7 significantly associated SNPs in the case-control analysis.

We fully agree with Chappell et al. that replication of significant associations is essential—which is why we included in our article the replication of our family-based association analysis results in a separate case-control study. This is also the reason why we provided early access to significantly associated SNPs to the Chappell and Kalsheker group.

Is *SERPINE2* a confirmed COPD susceptibility gene? Certainly not. Before the impact of *SERPINE2* on COPD susceptibility is fully known, more genetic association studies as well as functional studies will be needed. However, we contend that *SERPINE2* remains a valid COPD candidate gene. Finally, we agree with Chappell et al. that agreement on phenotypic definitions and collaboration between re-

search groups are crucial for the future of genetic studies of COPD and other complex diseases.

Web Resources

The URL for data presented herein is as follows:

Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM), <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Omim/> (for COPD)

References

1. Chappell S, Daly L, Morgan K, Guetta Baranes T, Roca J, Rabinovich R, Millar A, Donnelly SC, Keatings V, MacNee W, Stolk J, Hiemstra PS, Miniati M, Simonetta M, O'Connor CM, Kalsheker N (2006) The *SERPINE2* gene and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Hum Genet* 79:184–185 (in this issue)
2. Hersh CP, DeMeo DL, Lange C, Litonjua AA, Reilly JJ, Kwiatkowski D, Laird N, Sylvia JS, Sparrow D, Speizer FE, Weiss ST, Silverman EK (2005) Attempted replication of reported chronic

obstructive pulmonary disease candidate gene associations. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 33:71–78

3. Silverman EK, Palmer LJ (2000) Case-control association studies for the genetics of complex respiratory diseases. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 22:645–648
4. Silverman EK, Chapman HA, Drazen JM, Weiss ST, Rosner B, Campbell EJ, O'Donnell WJ, Reilly JJ, Ginns L, Mentzer S, Wain J, Speizer FE (1998) Genetic epidemiology of severe, early-onset chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: risk to relatives for air-flow obstruction and chronic bronchitis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 157:1770–1778

From the Channing Laboratory and Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, and Harvard Medical School, Boston (D.L.D.; E.K.S)

Address for correspondence and reprints: Dr. Dawn L. DeMeo, Channing Laboratory, 181 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115. E-mail: redld@channing.harvard.edu

Am. J. Hum. Genet. 2006;79:186–187. © 2006 by The American Society of Human Genetics. All rights reserved.
0002-9297/2006/7901-0025\$15.00